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MOTION:

“CHILDHOOD VACCINATIONS SHOULD BE COMPULSORY”
In May 2015, the Israeli government reinstated plans to discourage those opposed to, or opting out of, state vaccinations programmes by stopping child support welfare payments for families where vaccination had not taken place [Ref: Times of Israel]. A small but increasing number of parents in Israel are "showing a tendency to refuse to have their babies vaccinated according to the state’s vaccination program, and deciding for themselves which vaccines they want administered", reflecting a recent broader trend across Western societies, where 1 to 20% of parents are deciding against taking up some vaccines for their children [Ref: Ha’aretz]. A study carried out by the University of Haifa sampled 14,232 babies, of varying socioeconomic background, revealed that "7.4% - 1,052 babies - had not completed the vaccinations for their age group, and were lacking at least one of the three main immunizations in the national program" and that within this group 31.7% of the parents “skipped all three” recommended sets of vaccinations [Ref: Ha’aretz]. Given 95% of Israeli children have the recommended vaccinations, amongst the highest take-up in the world, [Ref: Jerusalem Post], and the accepted benchmark for “herd immunity to protect against contagious diseases” [Ref: Metro], some commentators considered the government’s recent move to be “draconian, unprecedented and dangerous” [Ref: Times of Israel]. With critics arguing that it discriminates “against its weakest populations amid deepening poverty rates” and that such actions will do nothing to change the minds of “those staunchly against vaccinations, known as ‘anti-vaxxers.’” [Ref: Times of Israel] This debate in Israel and across the world, raises fundamental questions such as: do parents have a right to choose what happens to their child? Does the government have a responsibility to care for wider society and overrise parental wishes? What is the best way to ensure the greatest levels of immunisation amongst populations - a voluntary or compulsory system?
Immunisation then and now

Prior to the development of vaccines in the eighteenth century, people could only become immune to diseases by contracting, and then surviving them. The process of surviving meant the body had learnt to deal with, and ultimately destroy, the microorganisms that caused the disease. The development of modern immunisation [Ref: Wikipedia] against infectious diseases can be administered in a number of ways, but most commonly through vaccination. Artificial active immunisation delivers a very small and weakened quantity of virus into the body, giving the immune system a chance to create its own antibodies and other defence mechanisms, thus preparing it for any future attacks of the disease. Many childhood diseases, which in previous eras could have been deadly, are now readily managed and controlled, especially in the West. The introduction of the Polio vaccine in 1953 [Ref: History.com] for example, has seen an almost complete eradication of the disease worldwide. In 2014 the World Health Organisation celebrated the 40th Anniversary of its World Immunization Week, and continued to encourage global vaccination because “immunization averts 2-3 million deaths each year from diseases such as diphtheria, measles, pertussis, pneumonia, polio, rotavirus diarrhoea, rubella and tetanus” [Ref: WHO]. Vaccines are considered a breakthrough in preventive medicine, stopping people from contracting severe contagious diseases. In Israel, for example, the vaccine to prevent haemophilus influenza B was included in the vaccination schedule for children in 1994, and since then meningitis and other complications in this age group have become extremely rare; Prevnar shots [Ref: Drugs.com], introduced in 2009, mean that pneumococcal meningitis and sepsis are now almost unseen; and the rotavirus vaccine has “significantly cut down the number of children hospitalized for serious diarrhoea” since 2010 [Ref: Jerusalem Post].

Who is refusing childhood vaccinations, and why?

Over the past two decades there have been increasingly vocal anti-vaccination movements, particularly in the UK and the USA. According to some commentators the motivation of those opposed the programmes are a deep distrust of government, alongside beliefs that “vaccination is motivated by profit and is an infringement of personal liberty and choice; vaccines violate the laws and nature and are temporary or ineffective; and good hygiene is sufficient to protect against disease” [Ref: Washington Post]. In the UK the MMR vaccine [Ref: NHS] was introduced in 1988, and a decade later in 1998, a controversial and now discredited research paper by Dr Andrew Wakefield, published in medical journal The Lancet, one of the world’s oldest and best known medical journals, suggested a connection between the MMR vaccination and the development of autism in children. Although Dr Wakefield’s research has been rejected, it is widely agreed that the scare about MMR resulted in a decline in measles vaccinations in the UK - falling from a peak of 94% for two year olds in 1995, to 78% in 2003 [Ref: Independent]. But it’s not the case that those who rejected the MMR jab for their children were ideologically opposed to vaccinations as ‘anti-vaxxers’ often are. Parents often found themselves in an impossible position, as parent Kirsty Grocott explained: “We were making decisions about an illness that many of us had never seen at first hand. Measles itself was an abstract compared to the perceived threat of autism. Parents made decisions...
best interests of their children, people capable of rational and intelligent thought, decided to eschew the vaccination because they genuinely felt that the risk was too great.” [Ref: Telegraph] In May 2013 the findings of a study carried out by the University of Haifa and funded by the National Institute for the Health Policy Research showed that 3.4% refuse for medical reasons and 41.3% of parents refused the vaccinations on principle [Ref: Ha’aretz]. Socioeconomic elements also factor into the refusal to vaccinate. “While socioeconomically better-off mothers refused vaccinations as a matter of choice, poorer mothers miss vaccinations because of behavioural or cultural blocks, lack of knowledge or organization”. [Ref: Ha’aretz] The study also found that university-educated parents were 2.13 times more likely to refuse vaccinations compared to parents with a high school education. Jewish parents were 4.22 times more likely to refuse to have their children vaccinated compared to Muslims, and Christian parents were 3.29% more likely to refuse than Muslim parents [Ref: Ha’aretz].

Consequences for ‘anti-vaxxers’ in Israel

Recent figures reveal that the number of parents opting out of some or all childhood vaccinations are “primarily from within some segments of the ultra-Orthodox community as well as Bedouin families in southern Israel with limited access to medical treatment (another group is found among upper-to-middle class Israelis, based on ideological grounds)” [Ref: Times of Israel]. “As a society, we don’t condone behaviour that puts others at risk for injury or death” argues American doctor Robert Pearl. “Refusing vaccination for reasons other than a serious medical condition is unfair and dangerous to those who can’t protect themselves.” [Ref: Forbes] The key argument about herd immunity, put forward by many calling for compulsory vaccinations, is that an individual choice, when it puts other members of society at risk, is no longer a valid one. “Risking other children’s lives, and other parents’ pain, is exactly what you’re doing when you don’t vaccinate your child: you’re not just making decisions about your children’s health, but the health and safety of the children around them.” [Ref: Guardian] Should the children be punished for the choice of their parents? Should the state be allowed to exercise such levels of control on its citizens? While the legal measure would not be unprecedented globally (Australia has conditioned benefits on vaccines beginning in 2016 [Ref: BBC News]), this debate raises difficult issues about the importance of parental autonomy to make decisions about their children, versus the needs of society as a whole: “The fact that individual rationality is sometimes at odds with the requirements of the common good is a quandary at the heart of moral and political philosophy. The function of the state, according to standard liberal theories, is to find a practical resolution to this central tension and solve collective action problems that otherwise won’t get solved in order to provide public goods—public order, military defence, public sanitation, environmental protection, etc—that otherwise won’t get provided.” [Ref: Economist] But others counter that: “In an era when people are less accepting of authority and do not expect to do something because the government says so, trying to enforce immunization may actually make matters worse.”[Ref: New York Times]
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Measles Explained — Vaccinate or Not?
In a Nutshell
FOR STUDENTS

READ EVERYTHING ..... In the Topic Guide and in the news - not just your side of the argument either.

STATISTICS ARE GOOD BUT..... Your opponents will have their own too. They’ll support your points but they aren’t a substitute for them.

BE BOLD Get straight to the point but don’t rush into things: make sure you aren’t falling back on earlier assertions because interpreting a debate too narrowly might show a lack of understanding or confidence.

DON’T BACK DOWN Try to take your case to its logical conclusion before trying to seem ‘balanced’ - your ability to challenge fundamental principles will be rewarded - even if you personally disagree with your arguments.

DON’T PANIC Never assume you’ve lost because every question is an opportunity to explain what you know. Don’t try to answer every question but don’t avoid the tough ones either.

FOR JUDGES

Judges are asked to consider whether students have been brave enough to address the difficult questions asked of them. Clever semantics might demonstrate an acrobatic mind but are also likely to hinder a serious discussion by changing the terms and parameters of the debate itself.

Whilst a team might demonstrate considerable knowledge and familiarity with the topic, evading difficult issues and failing to address the main substance of the debate misses the point of the competition. Judges are therefore encouraged to consider how far students have gone in defending their side of the motion, to what extent students have taken up the more challenging parts of the debate and how far the teams were able to respond to and challenge their opponents.

As one judge remarked ‘These are not debates won simply by the rather technical rules of schools competitive debating. The challenge is to dig in to the real issues.’ This assessment seems to grasp the point and is worth bearing in mind when sitting on a judging panel.

FOR TEACHERS

Hoping to start a debating club? Looking for ways to give your debaters more experience? Debating Matters have a wide range of resources to help develop a culture of debate in your school and many more Topic Guides like this one to bring out the best in your students. For these and details of how to enter a team for the Debating Matters Competition visit our website, www.debatingmatters.com
“A complex world requires the capacity to marshal challenging ideas and arguments.”

Lord Boateng, Former British High Commissioner to South Africa