MOTION:

“NO PRISONER SHOULD HAVE THE VOTE”
“The question ‘should prisoners have the vote?’ reads like one of those hypothetical motions beloved of sixth form debating clubs – a lively issue to kick around, but far removed from the real world”, said journalist Robert Chesshyre. But in 2011 the issue became more than just an academic one. In April after several years of legal wrangling the UK government lost its final appeal against giving prisoners the right to vote, following a ruling by the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) [Ref: Guardian]. The case had been brought to the court by convicted murderer John Hirst, with the support of the Prison Reform Trust and other campaign groups, after he failed in his challenge to the ‘blanket ban’ on prisoner votes at the UK High Court. The ECHR has now given the government six months to introduce reforms.

Advocates such as the Prison Reform Trust argue, quoting the South African Constitutional Court, that “the vote of each and every citizen is a badge of dignity and personhood”. A blanket ban on prisoner votes not only undermines fundamental human rights, but also denies the ideal of universal suffrage, upon which democracy is predicated. But critics warn that we must not be seduced by the ‘highfalutin’ language of rights. What is at stake is a very simple moral idea, says David Davis MP: ‘If you break the law’ you should not ‘be allowed to make the law’. Does the denial of the right to vote for prisoners demonstrate, in the words of one writer, that the UK ‘has hardly emerged from the Jurassic period’? Or are there deeper moral principles associated with democracy, punishment, and parliamentary sovereignty than accusations of ‘stick in the mud old tories’ suggest?
‘If you break the law, you should not be allowed to make the law’

Announcing that it would be appealing against the decision of the ECHR in 2005, a spokesman of the former government remarked: “It has been the view of successive governments... that persons who have committed crimes serious enough to warrant a custodial sentence should forfeit the right to have a say in how the country is governed while they are detained” [Ref: BBC]. Critics underline that voting is a right that prisoners give up by virtue of the crime that they have committed against society [Ref: Spectator] and that denial of this right remains an important punishment, because it tells those who break the law that they have lost their right to participate in democracy whilst incarcerated. But campaigners for prisoner votes argue that the moral arguments used by their opponents are archaic and redundant. Moral thinking today, they suggest, supports the idea that human rights, including the right to vote, are fundamental to all human beings. The right to vote is therefore an indivisible one, and whilst as writer Afua Hirsch suggests, imprisonment deprives inmates of their liberty while facilitating their rehabilitation, “it does not strip them of their citizenship rights.”

Rehabilitation

The Forfeiture Act of 1870 enshrined the principle of ‘civic death’ in law, a punishment that involves the withdrawal of citizenship rights and deems those serving a prison term ‘incapable ... of exercising any right of suffrage’ [Ref: legislation.gov.uk]. Having broken their obligations to keep to the laws of society, it is argued that society is then entitled to impose a penalty on lawbreakers that withdraws not only their freedom, but also one of the most important privileges of freedom — the right to vote. Those pressing for reform argue that such a notion is an outdated and uncivilised philosophy of punishment. A modern prison system, they argue, needs to embrace the idea of civic responsibility and rehabilitation. By lifting the voting ban the government would be encouraging prisoners in civilising patterns of behaviour, and help to incorporate socially excluded groups and individuals within the political process [Ref: Guardian]. As it stands, says Paul Tidball of the Prison Governors Association, the ban is “out of step in a modern prison service and runs counter to resettlement work”.

Degrading the vote and democracy

But some critics argue that talk of rehabilitation and the rhetoric of rights masks the fact that there is little of democratic substance to the discussion on prisoner votes. Indeed, says writer Tim Black, the idea of the vote becomes debased as its foundation, liberty, is degraded [Ref: spiked]. From the Levellers through to the Suffragettes, the struggle for enfranchisement promised self-rule and ‘freedom from arbitrary powers’. In this context, prisoner votes make no sense, precisely because those that are detained lack the ability to exercise the freedom that they represent. From this standpoint, some argue that we should be suspicious of those pushing for reform on behalf of prisoners. Far from demonstrating a commitment to democracy, such campaigns indicate the low esteem in which the vote is now held, as campaigns such as the Prison Reform Trust rebrand the vote from being a means to effect social change to a socialising and civilising act in itself. But advocates of the vote argue that far from undermining democracy, allowing
prisoners the vote deepens it. Universal suffrage, they argue, should be just that – universal. In a liberal democracy, says blogger and inmate Ben Gunn, all competent adults should have the right to vote. That right is inalienable and must remain so if the individual is to be free from overbearing and dangerous government. [Ref: Guardian]

**Sovereignty**

It has been well documented that until the ECHR became involved, the issue of prisoner votes had inspired little interest in British Politics. However, as the dispute has unfolded the debate has broadened itself out to incorporate arguments about parliamentary sovereignty, European interference, and the universalism of human rights. Some warn that bowing to the Strasbourg based court sets a dangerous precedent, whereby an unaccountable court is able to override the electorate, the Government and the British judiciary. This, they argue, is not democratic. But others counter that the debate around prisoner votes has become a vehicle for anti-European populism, and that claims that ‘alien institutions’ are making decisions that override parliamentary democracy are both overblown and imprecise. Resisting the ECHR on this simple moral question not only keeps the UK in the ‘Jurassic period’ in relation to prison reform, but threatens to undermine our commitment to the universalism of human rights, demonstrated by our compliance with the ECHR [Ref: Economist].
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